

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.upito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/485,045	05/12/2000	SE-JIN LEE	JHU1440-1	1418
75	90 05/30/2002			
LISA A HAIL	_	EXAMINER		
	WARE & FRIEDENRICH	ANDRES, JANET L		
4365 EXECUTI SUITE 1100	IVE DRIVE			
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2189			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.,.			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2002	()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
	<b></b>		09/48	5,045	LEE ET AL.		
Offic		Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit		
				Andres	1646		
Period fo	The MAIL or Reply	ING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover st	neet with the correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING Desions of time now SIX (6) MONTH period for reply period for reply to reply withing ply received by	y is specified above, the maximum s n the set or extended period for real	IICATION.  Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no imunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an y will, by statute cause the	statutory minimul d will expire SIX			
1)[	Respons	ive to communication(s) f	iled on 12 March 20	002			
2a)		Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 March 2002</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□ Dispositi	Since this	application is in condition accordance with the practice.	n for allowance exc	ept for form	al matters, prosecution as to the merits is 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
4)	Claim(s)	1-42 is/are pending in the	application.				
		above claim(s) <u>1 and 12-</u>		from consid	leration.		
		and 4-11 is/are allowed.					
		is/are rejected.					
		is/are objected to.					
		are subject to restric	ction and/or election	requiremen	nt		
	on Papers	•					
9)[] T	he specific	cation is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10)[] T	he drawing	g(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to	o by the Examiner.		
					abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)[] T					) disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved	d, corrected drawings are re	quired in reply to this	Office action.			
12) T	he oath or	declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.	S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌 🗸	Acknowled	gment is made of a claim	for foreign priority i	under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
		Some * c) None of:			, . , ,		
	I. Certi	fied copies of the priority	documents have be	en received	l.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3	3.⊟ Copi a		of the priority docum	nents have t	peen received in this National Stage		
					S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)		
a) 15)∏ Ad	☐ The tra knowledgr	nslation of the foreign lan ment is made of a claim f	guage provisional a	pplication h	as been received.		
ttachment(s							
Notice	of Draftsperse ation Disclosu	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (P ire Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) aper No(s)	4)	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) rr		
Patent and Trac D-326 (Rev.			Office Action Summ	ary	Part of Paper No. 17		

#### RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Applicant's amendment filed 12 March 2002 is acknowledged. Claims 1-42 are pending in this application. Claims 1 and 12-42 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code, not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

### Claim Rejections/Objections Withdrawn

- 2. The objection to claim 3 is withdrawn in response to Appicant's amendment.
- 3. The rejection of claims 2-11 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking written description and enablement commensurate with the scope of the claims is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment.
- 4. The rejection of claims 2-11 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as indefinite in the recitation of "GDF-16" is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment.

# New Grounds of Rejection

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily

published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 3 is newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 5916751 (Tabibzadeh et al., priority date August 1996).

The '751 patent teaches a polynucleotide sequence that contains a region of 303 nucleotides that is 92% identical to instant SEQ ID NO: 1. Complements to this sequence would also be complementary to SEQ ID NO: 1; section b) does not require that the complement be full length. Further, the sequence disclosed in the '751 patent contains regions of greater than 15 nucleotides that are identical to regions in instant SEQ ID NO: 1, thus anticipating the limitations of section c).

6. Claim 3 is newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for SEQ ID NO: 1, does not reasonably provide enablement for fragments that will detect sequences encoding GDF-16 by hybridization. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with this claim.

This claim encompasses fragments that identify GDF-16 by "hybridization". However, no hybridization conditions are specified. Under conditions of low enough stringency, any DNA will hybridize to any other. Thus without further guidance as to what hybridization conditions are required, one of skill in the art could not predictably identify and use fragments that would identify polynucleotides encoding SEQ ID NO: 2. Without further direction, therefore, it would require undue experimentation for the skilled artisan to make and use Applicant's invention as broadly claimed.

7. The rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as indefinite is maintained. Applicant's amendment altering "selectively hybridize" to "hybridization" does not overcome this rejection. As stated above, no particular conditions are required and one of skill in the art would not know what conditions Applicant intended the claim to encompass.

### CLAIMS 2 AND 4-11 ARE ALLOWED. CLAIM 3 IS REJECTED.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9307 for after final communications.

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35

U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D. May 29, 2002

LORRAINE SPECTOR